

Aquat Sci Eng • DOI: https://doi.org/10.26650/ASE20221131876

Short Communication

**AQUATIC SCIENCES AND ENGINEERING** 

# Microplastic Occurrence in the Gastrointestinal Tract of a Risso's Dolphin *Grampus griseus* in the Northeastern Mediterranean Sea

Nebil Yücel<sup>1</sup>, Ece Kılıç<sup>1</sup>, Cemal Turan<sup>1</sup>, Sefa Ayhan Demirhan<sup>1</sup>

**Cite this article as:** Yucel, N., Kilic, E., Turan, C., & Demirhan, S.A. (2022). Microplastic occurrence in the gastrointestinal tract of a Risso's dolphin *Grampus griseus* in the northeastern Mediterranean Sea. *Aquatic Sciences and Engineering*, Advance Online Publication. DOI: https://doi.org/10.26650/ASE20221131876

## ABSTRACT

Risso's dolphin *Grampus griseus* was stranded on the coast of Arsuz-Uluçınar, Iskenderun Bay, Turkey in the northeastern Mediterranean on 29 March 2022. This study was conducted to reveal the relationship between *G. griseus* and microplastic debris in the northeastern Mediterranean Sea. The gastrointestinal tract (GIT) of one stranding *G. griseus* was examined, and 454 microplastics particles were extracted. Of all, the majority of them were fibers (96%), black in colour (62%), and 0.5-1 mm in size (38%). This paper represents the first data indicating the microplastic abundance in *G. griseus* from the northeastern Mediterranean Sea. Also, it creates a baseline to understand the relationship between cetaceans and microplastics in this region.

Keywords: Stranding dolphin, microplastic pollution, Iskenderun Bay, marine litter

#### INTRODUCTION

As a consequence of unstoppable growth in plastic production, waste plastic materials reaching to marine environments are increasing day by day. The interaction between marine animals and plastic waste materials poses a threat to their well-being and causes many problems including mortality (Sharma, Sharma, & Chatterjee, 2021). For example, marine mammals were entangled in the fishing gear (Frantzis, 2007; Gomeric et al., 2009) or they try to swallow the fishing nets with the aim of feeding on trapped prey in the net (Levy et al., 2009). Similarly, some cases where large quantity of plastic materials mainly plastic bags, plastic bottles and their caps extracted from the stomach of cetaceans were reported (Simmonds, 2012).

Plastic materials found in the marine litter break up into smaller plastic particles as a result of photo degradation, oxidation, and mechanical abrasion (Andrady, 2011). Sometimes, microplastics (MPs) particles reach the marine environments from wastewater treatment plant effluents (Sun, Dai, Wang, van Loosdrect & Ni, 2019), and riverine effluents (Pojar et al., 2021). These small size plastic particles are called as MPs (less than 5 mm in size) (Arthur, Baker & Bamford, 2009), and they endanger the health of marine animals. To date, MPs ingestion has been reported in many marine animals from different trophic levels such as zooplankton (Sun, Liang, Zhu, Zhao & Zhang, 2018), bivalve (Yozukmaz, 2021), crustacea (Wu et al., 2020), fish (Kılıç & Yücel, 2022), sea birds, and cetaceans (Poeta, Staffieri, Acosta & Battisti, 2017; Fossi, Panti, Baini & Lavers, 2018).

The presence of MPs particles in the gastrointestinal tract (GIT) of cetacean species may result from direct ingestion and/or trophic transfer (NeIms et al., 2019; Novillo, Raga & Tomas, 2020). Even though, MPs found in the GIT of cetacean species may not cause congestion in the digestive system, MPs provide sorption sides for chemical contaminants which lead to the entrance of dangerous polluters into their body (Tien, Wang & Chen, 2020) that pose a threat to species well-being.

ORCID IDs of the author: N.Y. 0000-0003-2531-0198; E.K. 0000-0003-1953-5008; C.T. 0000-0001-9584-0261; S.A.D. 0000-0002-5789-926X

<sup>1</sup>İskenderun Technical University, Faculty of Marine Science and Technology, Hatay, Turkiye

Submitted: 16.06.2022

Revision Requested: 15.07.2022

Last Revision Received: 10.08.2022

Accepted: 16.08.2022

Online Published: 07.09.2022

Correspondence: Nebil Yücel E-mail: nebil.yucel@iste.edu.tr



Risso's dolphin Grampus griseus (G. Cuvier, 1812) was considered as a regular cetacean inhabitant of the Mediterranean Sea (Bearzi, Reeves, Remonato, Pierantonio & Airoldi, 2011; Lanfredi et al., 2021). Sighting, bycatch, and stranding records of this species from Turkish waters were reported from the Mediterranean Sea, Aegean Sea, and Marmara Sea (Öztürk, Öztürk & Dede, 2001; Tonay, Dede, Öztürk & Öztürk, 2009; Öztürk, Tonay & Dede 2011; Altuğ et al., 2011; Dede, Saad, Fakhri & Öztürk, 2012; Dede, Tonay, Bayar & Öztürk, 2013; Kesici et al., 2021). Even though this species were continuously observed in the Mediterranean Sea, a recent study indicated there is a significant decrease in their subpopulations, and they have been under the IUCN Red list of endangered species since 2020 (Lanfredi et al., 2021). In this study, a dead stranded G. griseus was analyzed in terms of MPs content in the stomach and intestines to understand the danger of MPs in the feeding behaviour of this species as marine mammals.


## MATERIAL AND METHODS

#### Sampling

Risso's dolphin *Grampus griseus* was stranded on the coast of Arsuz-Uluçınar, Iskenderun Bay, Turkey in the northeastern Mediterranean Sea on 29 March 2022 [Latitude: 36.409264° Longitude: 35.875059°] (Figure 1). The sex of *G. griseus* was identified, and all morphometric characters of *G. griseus* were measured. The weight of the species was estimated with weighbridge, while the body was transported to the faculty. The mouth was checked for the existence of any macroplastic materials before digestion. Then, the specimen was dissected, and all gastrointestinal track (GIT) from the pharynx to anus was removed and transported to the laboratory in a metal bucket. The outer surface of GIT was cleaned with distilled water. The inside of the intestines was first emptied by compression, and then washed with distilled water, and the contents were transferred to jars.

#### Microplastic extraction

At the beginning of all processes, the equipment and tools used in laboratory as well as laboratory surfaces were cleaned with filtered ethanol and distilled water twice. The stomach and intestine content was filtered through steel sieves with different mesh sizes (2000  $\mu$ m, 1000  $\mu$ m, 750  $\mu$ m, 200  $\mu$ m) and mesh filters with 50  $\mu$ m in size. The filters were covered with tin foil and kept



closed to avoid contamination until the microscopic examination. In this study, chemical digestion was not applied ,but stomach and intestine content was directly filtered due to the high organic load in the GIT.

#### Microscopic examination

The filters were investigated for the presence of MPs under Olympus SZX7 microscope with an attached Olympus DP 20 digital camera. Particles with no cellular or organic structures, fibers with the equally thick end, coloured particles and twisted flat ribbons were considered as MPs like particles (Nor & Obbard, 2014). Observed particles were exposed to a hot needle to check whether they are plastic in nature (Hanke et al., 2013). The number of MPs, size, colour and MP type (fiber, pellet and fragment) were recorded.

It is important to underline that during microscopic examination, each identified MPs like particles was checked with hot needle to validate the plastic nature. Also, organic remaining was carefully examined to reveal the trapped MPs inside of organic structures.

## **Contamination prevention**

All steps of MPs extraction were carried out in restricted laboratories (Bessa et al., 2019), and all doors and windows were shut down (Torre, Digka, Anastasopoulou, Tsangaris, & Mytilineou, 2016). To eliminate contamination, personnel always wore cotton aprons and nitrile gloves throughout the procedure. Finally, triplicate wet filters were inserted into petri dishes for quality check. There is not any MP particle detected in the blank filters.

## **RESULTS & DISCUSSION**

Plastics are intensely preferred in many industries due to their benefits over metal and wooden materials. However, they have become an alarming pollutant in marine environments since MP particles may easily be ingested by marine animals due to their intense and widespread presence. Also, its small size allows them to transfer to upper trophic levels via the food chain. MPs act like pollution vectors and create a gate for chemical pollutants to enter the body of marine animals (Koelmans, Bakir, Burton, & Janssen, 2016; Tien et al., 2020; Koelmans, Diepens & Mohamed Nor, 2021), which leads to health problems and even death.

Top predators like marine mammals are at higher risk in terms of MPs toxicity since as the size of the animal increases, the amount of MPs increases due to the connection with upper trophic levels (Rebelein, Int-Veen, Kammann, & Scharsack, 2021; Müller, 2021; Moore et al., 2022). Lusher, Hernandez-Milian, Berrow, Rogan & O'Connor (2018) examined the 528 stranded and caught cetacean species from Ireland, and MP and/or macroplastic particles were detected at the 8.5% of examined species. Among them, they examined the digestive tract of 8(+1) G. griseus specimens, and they reported the presence of macro debris in 2 specimens. However, the presence of micro debris was not reported. Nelms et al. (2019) examined the MPs existence of 5 different marine mammals (n=50), including 1 G. griseus individual, and MPs were detected in all examined animals. Novillo et al. (2020) examined the MPs existence in the stomach of striped dolphins (Stenella coeruleoalba) (n=30) from the Western Mediterranean Sea, and MPs were detected in the 90% of examined cetacean species. Although there are some previously published articles reporting plastic ingestions by Risso's dolphin (Shoham-Frider, Amiel, Roditi-Elesar & Kress, 2002; Baini et al., 2017; Lusher et al., 2018; Alexiadou, Foskolos, & Frantzis, 2019; Nelms et al., 2019), this is the first report presenting the MPs existence in the GIT of a Risso's dolphin *Grampus griseus*, a top predator from the Mediterranean Sea. Also, this incident is the most eastern stranding records of this species from Turkish waters.

According to the first visual examination of *G. griseus*, the specimen was freshly dead, and there was no deformation or injury in the external appearance, which may explain the cause of death. The specimen was female, and the length and the weight were measured as 294 cm and 370 kg, respectively. Detailed information regarding the morphological measurements of studied specimen was given in Table 1.

During the visual examination of stomach content in the laboratory, nine different sized squid beaks (undigested or partially di-

. .

. . . .

CharacterLength (cm)Body length294Distance between tip of rostrum to mid-point240of anusDistance between tip of rostrum to mid-point195of genital slitDistance between tip of rostrum to mid-point161of umbilicusDistance between tip of rostrum to tip of dorsal fin180Distance between tip of rostrum to font of dorsal127fin, at anterior insertion of dorsal fin180Distance between tip of rostrum to font of dorsal127fin, at anterior insertion of dorsal fin180Distance between tip of rostrum to centre of blowhole31Distance between tip of rostrum to centre of gape27Distance between tip of rostrum to centre of eye33Distance between tip of rostrum to front of pectoral fin51Length of left fluke38Length of left fluke38Total fluke span, tip to tip76Basal length of dorsal fin31Maximum width of pectoral fin25Outer length of pectoral fin, from anterior insertion to tip60Inner length of pectoral fin, from posterior insertion to tip43Girth behind pectoral fin,59Girth at anus25	Table 1.	External measurement of Risso's dolp <i>Grampus griseus.</i>	hin
Distance between tip of rostrum to mid-point240of anusDistance between tip of rostrum to mid-point195of genital slitDistance between tip of rostrum to mid-point161of umbilicusDistance between tip of rostrum to tip of dorsal fin180Distance between tip of rostrum to font of dorsal127fin, at anterior insertion of dorsal fin180Distance between tip of rostrum to centre of31blowholeDistance between tip of rostrum to centre of31Distance between tip of rostrum to centre of eye33Distance between tip of rostrum to front of51pectoral fin25Length of left fluke38Length of right fluke38Total fluke span, tip to tip76Basal length of dorsal fin31Maximum width of pectoral fin25Outer length of pectoral fin, from anterior60insertion to tip11Inner length of pectoral fin, from posterior43insertion to tip59Girth behind the dorsal fin, 5959Girth at the genital slit45	Character		
of anusInstrume periodInstrume periodDistance between tip of rostrum to mid-point195of genital slitDistance between tip of rostrum to mid-point161of umbilicusDistance between tip of rostrum to tip of dorsal fin180Distance between tip of rostrum to font of dorsal127fin, at anterior insertion of dorsal fin127Distance between tip of rostrum to centre of31blowholeDistance between tip of rostrum to centre of gape27Distance between tip of rostrum to end of gape27Distance between tip of rostrum to centre of eye33Distance between tip of rostrum to front of51pectoral fin28Length of left fluke38Length of right fluke38Total fluke span, tip to tip76Basal length of dorsal fin31Maximum width of pectoral fin25Outer length of pectoral fin, from anterior60insertion to tip60Girth behind pectoral fin, from posterior43insertion to tip59Girth at the genital slit45	Body length		294
of genital slit161Distance between tip of rostrum to mid-point161of umbilicusDistance between tip of rostrum to tip of dorsal fin180Distance between tip of rostrum to font of dorsal127fin, at anterior insertion of dorsal fin127Distance between tip of rostrum to centre of31blowholeDistance between tip of rostrum to end of gape27Distance between tip of rostrum to centre of eye33Distance between tip of rostrum to centre of eye33Distance between tip of rostrum to front of51pectoral fin28Length of left fluke38Length of right fluke38Total fluke span, tip to tip76Basal length of dorsal fin31Maximum width of pectoral fin25Outer length of pectoral fin, from anterior60insertion to tip60Girth behind pectoral fin, from posterior43insertion to tip59Girth at the genital slit45			240
of umbilicus180Distance between tip of rostrum to tip of dorsal fin180Distance between tip of rostrum to font of dorsal127fin, at anterior insertion of dorsal fin127Distance between tip of rostrum to centre of blowhole31Distance between tip of rostrum to end of gape27Distance between tip of rostrum to centre of eye33Distance between tip of rostrum to centre of eye33Distance between tip of rostrum to front of pectoral fin51Length of left fluke38Length of left fluke38Total fluke span, tip to tip76Basal length of dorsal fin37Height of dorsal fin31Maximum width of pectoral fin25Outer length of pectoral fin, from anterior insertion to tip60Girth behind pectoral fin,70Girth behind the dorsal fin,59Girth at the genital slit45			195
Distance between tip of rostrum to font of dorsal fin, at anterior insertion of dorsal fin127Distance between tip of rostrum to centre of blowhole31Distance between tip of rostrum to end of gape Distance between tip of rostrum to centre of eye33Distance between tip of rostrum to centre of eye33Distance between tip of rostrum to front of pectoral fin51Length of left fluke38Length of right fluke38Total fluke span, tip to tip76Basal length of dorsal fin31Maximum width of pectoral fin25Outer length of pectoral fin, from anterior insertion to tip60Inner length of pectoral fin, from posterior insertion to tip43Girth behind pectoral fin, for dorsal fin,70Girth behind the dorsal fin, for dorsal fin,59Girth at the genital slit45			161
fin, at anterior insertion of dorsal finDistance between tip of rostrum to centre of blowhole31Distance between tip of rostrum to end of gape27Distance between tip of rostrum to centre of eye33Distance between tip of rostrum to front of pectoral fin51Length of left fluke38Length of right fluke38Total fluke span, tip to tip76Basal length of dorsal fin37Height of dorsal fin31Maximum width of pectoral fin25Outer length of pectoral fin, from anterior insertion to tip60Inner length of pectoral fin, from posterior insertion to tip43Girth behind pectoral fin, for dorsal fin,70Girth behind the dorsal fin, 4559	Distance between tip of rostrum to tip of dorsal fin		
blowhole27Distance between tip of rostrum to end of gape27Distance between tip of rostrum to centre of eye33Distance between tip of rostrum to front of pectoral fin51Length of left fluke38Length of right fluke38Total fluke span, tip to tip76Basal length of dorsal fin31Maximum width of pectoral fin25Outer length of pectoral fin, from anterior insertion to tip60Inner length of pectoral fin, from posterior insertion to tip43Girth behind pectoral fin,70Girth behind the dorsal fin,59Girth at the genital slit45			127
Distance between tip of rostrum to centre of eye33Distance between tip of rostrum to front of51pectoral fin51Length of left fluke38Length of right fluke38Total fluke span, tip to tip76Basal length of dorsal fin37Height of dorsal fin31Maximum width of pectoral fin25Outer length of pectoral fin, from anterior60insertion to tip1Inner length of pectoral fin, from posterior43of the behind pectoral fin, from posterior43Girth behind the dorsal fin,59Girth at the genital slit45			31
Distance between tip of rostrum to front of pectoral fin51Length of left fluke38Length of right fluke38Total fluke span, tip to tip76Basal length of dorsal fin37Height of dorsal fin31Maximum width of pectoral fin25Outer length of pectoral fin, from anterior insertion to tip60Inner length of pectoral fin, from posterior insertion to tip43Girth behind pectoral fin,70Girth uf he dorsal fin,59Girth at the genital slit45	Distance between tip of rostrum to end of gape		
pectoral finLength of left fluke38Length of right fluke38Total fluke span, tip to tip76Basal length of dorsal fin37Height of dorsal fin31Maximum width of pectoral fin25Outer length of pectoral fin, from anterior insertion to tip60Inner length of pectoral fin, from posterior insertion to tip43Girth behind pectoral fin,70Girth behind the dorsal fin,59Girth at the genital slit45	Distance between tip of rostrum to centre of eye		33
Length of right fluke38Total fluke span, tip to tip76Basal length of dorsal fin37Height of dorsal fin31Maximum width of pectoral fin25Outer length of pectoral fin, from anterior60insertion to tip1Inner length of pectoral fin, from posterior43insertion to tip60Girth behind pectoral fin,70Girth behind the dorsal fin,59Girth at the genital slit45			51
Total fluke span, tip to tip76Basal length of dorsal fin37Height of dorsal fin31Maximum width of pectoral fin25Outer length of pectoral fin, from anterior60insertion to tip1Inner length of pectoral fin, from posterior43insertion to tip60Girth behind pectoral fin,70Girth behind the dorsal fin,59Girth at the genital slit45	Length of left fluke		
Basal length of dorsal fin37Height of dorsal fin31Maximum width of pectoral fin25Outer length of pectoral fin, from anterior60insertion to tip1Inner length of pectoral fin, from posterior43insertion to tip60Girth behind pectoral fin,60Girth in front of dorsal fin,70Girth behind the dorsal fin,59Girth at the genital slit45	Length of right fluke		38
Height of dorsal fin31Maximum width of pectoral fin25Outer length of pectoral fin, from anterior60insertion to tip1Inner length of pectoral fin, from posterior43insertion to tip60Girth behind pectoral fin,60Girth in front of dorsal fin,70Girth behind the dorsal fin,59Girth at the genital slit45	Total fluke span, tip to tip		76
Maximum width of pectoral fin25Outer length of pectoral fin, from anterior insertion to tip60Inner length of pectoral fin, from posterior insertion to tip43Girth behind pectoral fin60Girth in front of dorsal fin, Girth behind the dorsal fin, Girth at the genital slit59	Basal length of dorsal fin		37
Outer length of pectoral fin, from anterior insertion to tip60Inner length of pectoral fin, from posterior insertion to tip43Girth behind pectoral fin60Girth in front of dorsal fin, Girth behind the dorsal fin, Girth at the genital slit59	Height of dorsal fin		
insertion to tip43Inner length of pectoral fin, from posterior43insertion to tip60Girth behind pectoral fin,60Girth in front of dorsal fin,70Girth behind the dorsal fin,59Girth at the genital slit45	Maximum width of pectoral fin		25
insertion to tip60Girth behind pectoral fin,60Girth in front of dorsal fin,70Girth behind the dorsal fin,59Girth at the genital slit45			60
Girth behind pectoral fin60Girth in front of dorsal fin,70Girth behind the dorsal fin,59Girth at the genital slit45			
Girth in front of dorsal fin,70Girth behind the dorsal fin,59Girth at the genital slit45			
Girth behind the dorsal fin,59Girth at the genital slit45			
Girth at the genital slit 45			
5	Girth at the genital slit		
	Girth at anus		25

gested tissue were found) were found in the fore stomach ,but other stomachs were empty. While the first part of the intestines contained greenish fluid, the remaining part was brown.

Cephalopods are the major prey of *G. griseus* (Clarke, 1996), which explains the existence of squids in the stomach content. For example, Öztürk, Salman, Öztürk & Tonay (2007) extracted cephalopod remains from the *G. griseus* in the eastern Mediterranean Sea. Also, similar to findings of this study, Blanco, Raduan & Raga (2006) extracted squid species in the stomach of *G. griseus* sampled from the Mediterranean Sea.

A total of 484 MPs particles were extracted from the GIT of *G. griseus* (Figure 2). Eastern Mediterranean Sea, especially the region between Turkey and Cyprus, was categorized as the hotspot of the plastic debris (Liubartseva, Coppini, Lecci, Clementi, 2018). When the stranding location of *G. griseus* was taken into consideration, this high MPs amount is most probably related with the contamination status of the surrounding environment.

In terms of plastic type, majority of the identified particles were fibers in shaped (96%). Minor portion of identified MPs were pellets and fragments from unidentifiable larger objects (Figure 3). In the literature, the percentage of fibers among extracted MPs was reported as 73.6% in the Western Mediterranean Sea (Novillo et al., 2020), 84% in the British coast (Nelms et al., 2018), and 83.6% in Ireland (Lusher et al., 2018).





Figure 2. Some examples of extracted MPs from gastrointestinal track of Risso's dolphin *Grampus griseus*.

In terms of the size, 4% of extracted MPs belong to mesoplastics size class (5-20 mm); whereas the rest belong to microplastic size glass (<5 mm). Majority of the extracted particles were within the range of 0.5-1.0 mm size class (Figure 3), and the mean size of extracted fibers was  $1.3\pm1.6$  mm.

In terms of colour, white, black, blue, green, and red particles were identified. In this context, transparent and white MPs were categorized as white; blue and purple MPs were categorized as blue; red and pink MPs were categorized as red. Majority of the extracted MPs were black in colour, which is consistent with the previous studies (Lusher et al., 2018; Nelms et al., 2018; Novillo et al., 2020) (Figure 3).

The amounf of MPs in the stomach of common dolphin *Delphinus delphis* was found as 12 average ind<sup>-1</sup> from the Spanish coast (Hernández-González et al., 2018), striped dolphins (*Stenella coeruleoalba*) was found as 14.9 average ind<sup>-1</sup> from the Western Mediterranean Sea (Navillo et al. 2020). The amount of MPs extracted from Risso's dolphin *Grampus griseus* was significantly higher than that of the previous reports. There are many possible reasons for these variations. Firstly, previous studies focused on the stomach content whereas in this study, both intestine and stomach content was examined. Secondly, the amount of MPs was found to be variable between species (Nelms et al., 2020) and location (Novillo et al., 2018). Thirdly, the straightening of the MPs particles was



checked with the hot needle method, advanced validation methods Fourier transform infrared spectroscopy could not be used. This may cause an overestimation of the examined MPs particles.

### CONCLUSION

In this study, 454 MPs particles were extracted from the gastrointestinal tract of Risso's dolphin *Grampus griseus* from the northeastern Mediterranean Sea. Majority of the extracted particles were fibers in shape, black in colour, and in the 0.5-1 mm size range. The high amount of MPs particles in the GIT of Risso's dolphin *Grampus griseus* shows the intensity of MPs contamination risk of marine mammals considering their role as pollutant vectors. Also, this high presence proves the significant MPs occurrence in the Mediterranean Sea pelagic waters. More comprehensive studies need to be conducted to evaluate the threat of MPs on marine mammals.

**Compliance with Ethical Standard:** Authors declare that ethical approval is not require for this type of study.

**Conflict of Interests:** Authors declare that there is no conflict of interest.

**Financial disclosure:** The authors declare that no funds, grants, or other support were received in this study.

## REFERENCES

- Alexiadou, P., Foskolos, I., & Frantzis, A. (2019). Ingestion of macroplastics by odontocetes of the Greek Seas, Eastern Mediterranean: Often deadly! *Marine Pollution Bulletin*, 146, 67-75. [CrossRef]
- Altuğ, G., Aktan, Y., Oral, M., Topaloğlu, B., Dede, A., Keskin, Ç., Işinibilir, M., Çardak, M., Çiftçi, P. (2011). Biodiversity of the northern Aegean Sea and southern part of the Sea of Marmara, Turkey. *Marine Biodiversity Records*, 4:e65 [CrossRef]
- Arthur, C., Baker, J., Bamford, H. (2009). NOAA Technical Memorandum NOS-OR&R30. In: Proceedings of the International Research Workshop on the Occurrence, Effects and Fate of Microplastic Marine Debris. September, 9-11
- Andrady A.L. (2015). Persistence of Plastic Litter in the Oceans. In: Bergmann, M., Gutow, L., Klages, M. (Eds.), *Marine Anthropogenic Litter* (pp 57–72). Springer, Cham. [CrossRef]
- Baini, M., Martellini, T., Cincinelli, A., Campani, T., Minutoli, R., Panti, C., Finoia, M.G. and Fossi, M.C. (2017). First detection of seven phthalate esters (PAEs) as plastic tracers in superficial neustonic/planktonic samples and cetacean blubber. *Analytical Methods*, 9(9), pp.1512-1520 [CrossRef]
- Bearzi, G., Reeves, R. R., Remonato, E., Pierantonio, N., & Airoldi, S. (2011). Risso's dolphin *Grampus griseus* in the Mediterranean Sea. *Mammalian Biology*, 76(4), 385-400 [CrossRef]
- Blanco, C., Raduan, M. A. & Raga, J. A. (2006). Diet of Risso's dolphin (Grampus griseus) in the western Mediterranean Sea. Scientia Marina, 70 (3), 407–411. [CrossRef]
- Clarke, M. R. (1996). Cephalopods as prey. III. Cetaceans. Philosophical Transactions of the Royal Society of London. Series B: Biological Sciences, 351(1343), 1053-1065. [CrossRef]
- Dede, A., Tonay, A. M., Bayar, H., & Öztürk, A. A. (2013). First stranding record of a Risso's Dolphin (*Grampus griseus*) in the Marmara Sea, Turkey. *Journal of Black Sea/Mediterranean Environment*, 19, 121-126.
- Dede, A., Saad, A., Fakhri, M., & Öztürk, B. (2012). Cetacean sightings in the Eastern Mediterranean Sea during the cruise in summer 2008. *Journal of Black Sea/Mediterranean Environment, 18*(1), 49-57.

- Fossi, M. C., Panti, C., Baini, M. & Lavers, J. L. (2018). A review of plasticassociated pressures: cetaceans of the Mediterranean Sea and eastern Australian shearwaters as case studies. *Frontiers in Marine Sciences*, [CrossRef]
- Frantzis, A. (2007). Fisheries interactions with cetacean species in Hellas, In State of Hellenic fisheries. (pp 274–278). Hellenic Centre for Marine Research. Athens.
- Gomercic, M. U., Galov, A., Gomercic, T., Skrtic, D., Curkovic, S., Lucic, H., et al. (2009). Bottlenose dolphin (*Tursiops truncatus*) depredation resulting in larynx strangulation with gill-net parts. *Marine Mammal Science*, [CrossRef]
- Hanke, G., Galgani, F., Werner, S., Oosterbaan, L., Nilsson, P., Fleet, D., Kinsey, S., Thompson, R., Van Franeker, J.A., Vlachogianni, T., Palatinus, A., Scoullos, M., Veiga, J.M., Matiddi, M., Alcaro, L., Maes, T., Korpinen, S., Budziak, A., Leslie, H., Gago, J. & Liebezeit, G. (2013). Guidance on Monitoring of Marine Litter in European Seas. European Commission. Retrieved from: http://hdl.handle. net/10508/1649 (accessed 10.05.2022)
- Hernández-González, A., Saaverdra, C., Gago, J., Covelo, P., Santos, M. B. & Pierce, G. J. (2018). Microplastics in the stomach contents of common dolphin (*Delphinus delphis*) stranded on the Galician coasts (NW Spain, 2005 - 2010). *Marine Pollution Bulletin*, 137, 526–532. [CrossRef]
- Kesici, N. B., Dalyan, C., Gönülal, O., Akkaya, A., Lyne, P., Tüzün, S., & Yıldırım, B. (2021). A preliminary study on marine top predators inhabiting Gökçeada Island, the North Aegean Sea. Journal of the Black Sea/Mediterranean Environment, 27(1), 34-48
- Koelmans, A. A., Bakir, A., Burton, G. A., & Janssen, C. R. (2016). Microplastic as a vector for chemicals in the aquatic environment: critical review and model-supported reinterpretation of empirical studies. *Environmental Science & Technology*, *50*(7), 3315-3326. [CrossRef]
- Koelmans, A. A., Diepens, N. J., & Mohamed Nor, N. (2021). Weight of evidence for the microplastic vector effect in the context of chemical risk assessment. *Plastic in the Environment: Pattern and Process* (Springer Open), 155-197. [CrossRef]
- Kılıç, E. & Yücel, N. (2022). Microplastic occurrence in the gastrointestinal tract and gill of bioindicator fish species in the northeastern Mediterranean. *Marine Pollution Bulletin*, 177, 113556 [CrossRef]
- Lanfredi, C., Arcangeli, A., David, L., Holcer, D., Rosso, M. & Natoli, A. (2021). Grampus griseus (Mediterranean subpopulation). The IUCN Red List of Threatened Species 2021. https://dx.doi.org/10.2305/ IUCN.UK.2021-3.RLTS.T16378423A190737150.en.9
- Levy, A. M., Brenner, O., Scheinin, A., Morick, D., Ratner, E., Goffman, O., & Kerem, D. (2009). Laryngeal Snaring by Ingested Fishing Net in a Common Bottlenose Dolphin (*Tursiops truncatus*) Off the Israeli Shoreline. *Journal of Wildlife Diseases*, 45(3),834-838. [CrossRef]
- Lusher, A. L., Hernandez-Milian, G., Berrow, S., Rogan, E. & O'Connor, I. (2018). Incidence of marine debris in cetaceans stranded and bycaught in Ireland: recent findings and a review of historical knowledge. *Environmental Pollution*, 232, 467–476. [CrossRef]
- Liubartseva, S., Coppini, G., Lecci, R., Clementi, E. (2018) Tracking plastics in the Mediterranean: 2D Lagrangian model. *Marine Pollution Bulletin*, 129(1): 151-162. [CrossRef]
- Moore, R. C., Noel, M., Etemadifar, A., Loseto, L., Posacka, A. M., Bendell, L., & Ross, P. S. (2022). Microplastics in beluga whale (*Delphinapterus leucas*) prey: An exploratory assessment of trophic transfer in the Beaufort Sea. *Science of the Total Environment*, [CrossRef]
- Müller, C. (2021). Not as Bad as It Seems? A Literature Review on the Case of Microplastic Uptake in Fish. *Frontiers in Marine Sciences*, [CrossRef]
- Nelms, S. E., Barnett, J., Brownlow, A., Davison, N. J., Deaville, R., Galloway, T. S., Lindeque, P. K., Santillo, D. & Godley, B. J. (2019). Microplastics in marine mammals stranded around the British coast: ubiquitous but transitory? *Scientific Reports*, [CrossRef]

- Nor, N. H. M. & Obbard, J. P. (2014) Microplastics in Singapore's coastal mangrove ecosystems. *Marine Pollution Bulletin*, https://doi.org/10. 1016/j.marpolbul.2013.11.025
- Novillo, O., Raga, J. A., & Tomás, J. (2020). Evaluating the presence of microplastics in striped dolphins (*Stenella coeruleoalba*) stranded in the Western Mediterranean Sea. *Marine Pollution Bulletin*, [CrossRef]
- Öztürk, A. A., Tonay, A. M., & Dede, A., (2011). Strandings of the beaked whales, Risso's dolphins, and a minke whale on the Turkish coast of the Eastern Mediterranean Sea. *Journal of Black Sea/Mediterranean Environment*, 17(3), 269-274.
- Öztürk, B., Öztürk, A. A., & Dede, A. (2001). Dolphin bycatch in the swordfish driftnet fishery in the Aegean Sea. *Rapp. Comm., int. Mer Medit*, 36, 308.
- Öztürk, B., Salman, A., Öztürk, A. A., & Tonay, A. (2007). Cephalopod remains in the diet of striped dolphins (*Stenella coeruleoalba*) and Risso's dolphins (*Grampus griseus*) in the eastern Mediterranean Sea. Vie et Milieu/ *Life & Environment*, Observatoire Océanologique -Laboratoire Arago, 57(1/2), 53-59.
- Poeta, G., Staffieri, E., Acosta, A. T., & Battisti, C. (2017). Ecological effects of anthropogenic litter on marine mammals: A global review with a "black-list" of impacted taxa. *Hystrix, the Italian Journal of Mammalogy*, https://doi.org/10.4404/hystrix-00003-2017
- Pojar, I., Stănică, A., Stock, F., Kochleus, C., Schultz, M., & Bradley, C. (2021). Sedimentary microplastic concentrations from the Romanian Danube River to the Black Sea. *Scientific reports*, [CrossRef]
- Rebelein, A., Int-Veen, I., Kammann, U., & Scharsack, J. P. (2021). Microplastic fibers Underestimated threat to aquatic organisms? *Science of the Total Environment*, [CrossRef]
- Sharma, S., Sharma, V., & Chatterjee, S. (2021). Microplastics in the Mediterranean Sea: Sources, Pollution Intensity, Sea Health, and Regulatory Policies. *Frontiers in Marine Science*, [CrossRef]
- Shoham-Frider, E., Amiel, S., Roditi-Elasar, M., & Kress, N. (2002). Risso's dolphin (Grampus griseus) stranding on the coast of Israel (eastern Mediterranean). Autopsy results and trace metal concentrations. *Science of the total environment*, 295(1-3), 157-166. [CrossRef]
- Simmonds, M. P. (2012). Cetaceans and marine debris: the great unknown. *Journal of Marine Sciences*, [CrossRef]
- Sun, J., Dai, X., Wang, Q., van Loosdrecht, M. C., & Ni, B. J. (2019). Microplastics in wastewater treatment plants: Detection, occurrence and removal. Water Research, 152, 21-37. [CrossRef]
- Sun, X., Liang, J., Zhu, M., Zhao, Y. & Zhang, B. (2018). Microplastics in seawater and zooplankton from the yellow Sea. *Environmental Pollution*, [CrossRef]
- Tien, C. J., Wang, Z. X., & Chen, C. S. (2020). Microplastics in water, sediment and fish from the Fengshan River system: Relationship to aquatic factors and accumulation of polycyclic aromatic hydrocarbons by fish. *Environmental Pollution*, [CrossRef]
- Tonay, M. A., Dede, A., Öztürk, A. A., & Öztürk, B. (2009). Cetacean strandings in the Turk- ish Straits System (TSS) and the northern Aegean Sea coast of Turkey during 1999–2008. In: Proceedings of the Annual Conference of the European Cetacean Society 23, Istanbul, Turkey.
- Torre, M., Digka, N., Anastasopoulou, A., Tsangaris, C. & Mytilineou, C. (2016). Anthropogenic microfibres pollution in marine biota. a new and simple methodology to minimize airborne contamination. *Marine Pollution Bulletin*, [CrossRef]
- Wu, F., Wang, Y., Leung, J. Y., Huang, W., Zeng, J., Tang, Y. Chen, J., Shi, A., Yu, X., Xu, X., Zhang, H., & Cao, L. (2020). Accumulation of microplastics in typical commercial aquatic species: A case study at a productive aquaculture site in China. *Science of the Total Environment*, [CrossRef]
- Yozukmaz, A. (2021). Investigation of microplastics in edible wild mussels from İzmir Bay (Aegean Sea, Western Turkey): A risk assessment for the consumers. *Marine Pollution Bulletin*, [CrossRef]